Induced Heating in Steel-Beam Reinforced Ceilings over Three-Phase Transformer Delta Closures

Peter F. Ryff
Ryerson University

V. Bulat
EMPCO (Canada) Ltd.

digital.library.ryerson.ca/object/231

Please Cite:
INDUCED HEATING IN STEEL-BEAM REINFORCED CEILINGS
OVER THREE-PHASE TRANSFORMER DELTA CLOSURES

P. P. Ryff, Senior Member, IEEE
Ryerson Polytechnical Institute
Department of Electrical Technology
Toronto, Ontario, Canada

V. Bulat
EMPCO (Canada) Ltd.
Oshawa, Ontario, Canada

Abstract - Due to eddy currents, high temperatures often occur in structural parts adjacent to conductors carrying large currents. This paper deals with this problem and specifically with the minimum safe height and orientation of steel beams in a reinforced concrete ceiling above a three-phase transformer delta closure.

The power losses in the steel members due to induced eddy currents are calculated, from which the temperature increases are determined, properly accounting for convection and radiation effects. The methods presented are substantiated by experimental data and applied to a practical installation.

INTRODUCTION

The remarkable increases in power levels during the last few years of electric arc furnaces, used in steel-producing plants, also has produced many new problems. Not the least of these has been the heating of building steel adjacent to conductors carrying currents of up to 100 kA, in the event of Ultra High Power (UHP) furnace operation. Current ratings of existing furnaces being upgraded are increased by some 50 percent, sometimes without giving due regard to adjacent structural steel. One such instance, to be reported in this paper, was the question of proper spacing of a yet-to-be-constructed reinforced concrete ceiling structure above a three-phase transformer delta closure as found in electric arc furnace installations. In the upgrading of the furnace steel output capacity, which among many other changes involves a larger capacity furnace transformer, the current levels were increased from 50 kA to 80 kA. It became apparent that with these increased currents, greater consideration of induced heating effects in nearby structural steel was essential.

In addition to the economic value in minimizing these heating effects, there is also the question of stresses resulting from localized heating and the effects on the strength of the concrete and the bond between concrete and steel. Although the American Concrete Institute states that the strength and life of Portland concrete is not inversely affected by temperatures as high as 120-250 °C, the bond between steel and concrete cycling at these elevated temperatures may well impose constraints on the design. Hence, to evaluate critical design parameters and to maintain any induced heating well within this lower temperature limit and possibly to levels not to exceed those existing before upgrading (which were quite acceptable), this investigation was initiated.

Particular emphasis was placed on determining the new ceiling height and steel beam orientation with respect to the current conductors, in view of induced heating and minimizing local surface temperatures. After presentation of the general theory and verification of calculated results by experimental data, the theory is applied to the practical installation considered.

FORMULATION OF THE PROBLEM

The configuration examined is illustrated in figure 1, showing the secondary bus bars connecting the flexible cables to the three-phase transformer secondary terminals. The reinforced ceiling and the to-be-determined distance d, with respect to the center phase, is also shown. The bus bars (two per phase) protrude through the furnace transformer wall in a triangulated manner, as shown in figure 2. For analytical treatment two extreme and distinct situations are considered. In the first one, the steel structural beams run perpendicular to the secondary bus bars, while in the second, they are parallel to them. They will be examined in succession after which the general heat theory to calculate the temperatures is presented, which is common to both.

Fig. 1. Illustration of basic configuration.

Perpendicular Geometry

The basic arrangement considered is illustrated in figure 3. If it is assumed that there are many beams in close proximity relative to the distances of the geometry considered, the ceiling may be considered as a magnetic plate...
for all practical purposes. This then will represent a worst possible situation from an induced heat point of view. The assumption seems well justified particularly when the concrete is further reinforced with rebars.

If at first a single conductor carrying a current I is considered, placed at a distance d, parallel to a magnetic plate of permeability \( \mu = \mu_0 \mu_r \) (\( \mu_0 = 4 \pi \times 10^{-7} \text{ H/m} \)), it can be shown that the expression for the magnetic vector potential inside the material is:

\[
\Phi = \frac{\mu I}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-kd}}{k \mu_r + \gamma} e^{-\gamma y} \cos kx \, dk
\]

where \( \gamma = (k^2 + \omega^2 \mu_r \sigma)^{1/2} \)

\( \omega = 2\pi f \), the operating frequency

\( \sigma = \) electrical conductivity (S/m)

\( \mu_r = \) relative permeability

\( I = \) I-1

By extending the analysis to the geometry shown in figure 3 and using the superposition principle and notation of variables indicated, there results:

\[
\Phi = \frac{\mu I}{2\pi} \sum_{n} \frac{(\frac{a}{d})^n}{n} J_n(kg) \cos n\varphi
\]

where \( J_n \) is the Bessel function with argument \( kg \) if not specified, \( k^2 = \omega^2 \mu_r \sigma \) and \( \varphi \) and \( \varphi \) are the cylindrical coordinates specifying the field point inside the beam where the potential is determined. All other parameters are as explained above. Applying the superposition principle and extending the analysis to the six bus-bar configuration of figure 4, the resulting expression using the nomenclature indicated becomes:

\[
\Phi = \frac{\mu I}{2\pi} \sum_{n} \frac{(\frac{a}{d})^n}{n(n\mu_r + 1)} J_n(ka) + nJ_{n+1}(ka)
\]
The power loss density inside the material is again obtained by using equation (3).

Temperature Calculation

Having determined the power loss density throughout the material region in both cases, the corresponding temperature effect remains to be found. For this the induced power loss density at the surface where the temperature is to be calculated is obtained by the methods presented. As mentioned before, the thickness 1 of the plate is assumed large for electrical but small for thermal calculations.

The induced power losses, \( Q'' = Q_p e^{-x/\beta} \), represents the internal heat generation term in the thermal calculations (\( Q_p \) being the value at the surface) which decays exponentially into the material. Even in the event of a single I-beam, the web thickness is very small compared to the flange dimensions, hence, it is assumed that in the thermal calculations only the flange need to be considered, which in effect can then also be treated as a plate.

Referring to figure 5, the equation governing the temperature distribution \( T(x) \), in the plate (ceiling) material is described by,

\[
\frac{d^2 T(x)}{dx^2} + \frac{Q''(x)}{k} = 0
\]

with the boundary conditions applying to the top and bottom surface of the plate material, being:

\[
\begin{align*}
&at \ x = 0, \quad k \frac{dT(0)}{dx} = h_b \left[ T(0) - T_b \right] \quad (7) \\
&and \ x = 1, \quad -k \frac{dT(1)}{dx} = h_t \left[ T(1) - T_t \right] \quad (8)
\end{align*}
\]

where \( k \) = thermal conductivity of the material. \( T_t \) and \( T_b \) = ambient temperature above and below the ceiling, respectively.

\( h_t \) and \( h_b \) = surface heat transfer coefficient accounting for convection and radiation at the top and bottom surface, respectively.

Equations (6), (7) and (8) completely describe the temperature distribution in the material for steady-state conditions. The resulting expression, obtained after a somewhat lengthy but straightforward algebraic manipulation, gives the temperature throughout the material and is of the form:

\[
T = -\phi \delta e^{-x/\beta} + C_1 x + C_2
\]

where

\[
C_1 = \frac{-\phi \beta - h_b h_t (T_b - T_t) / k}{h_t + h_b (1 + h_t 1/k)}
\]

\[
C_2 = \frac{\phi \beta + h_b (1 + h_t 1/k) T_b + h_t T_t}{h_t + h_b (1 + h_t 1/k)}
\]

and

\[
\alpha = h_t (1 + h_b \delta/k) + h_b (1 - h_t \delta/k) e^{-1/\beta}
\]

\[
\beta = (k + h_b \delta) (1 + h_t 1/k) - (k - h_t \delta) e^{-1/\beta}
\]

\[
\phi = \frac{d Q_p}{k}
\]

![Fig. 4. Nomenclature for parallel geometry of beams relative to bus bars.](image)

**Fig. 4.** Nomenclature for parallel geometry of beams relative to bus bars.

\[
\begin{align*}
&\alpha = -\frac{1}{2} - i \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \quad and \quad \alpha_2 = -\frac{1}{2} + i \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}
\end{align*}
\]

Verification of Methods Presented

Using the methods presented, the power loss density and resulting temperature at the surface of the plate material was calculated as a function of the distance, for the two conductor
arrangements shown in figures 6 and 7. These examples were chosen since extensive published experimental data by Swerdlow and Buchta could be compared with these calculations. It can be seen in both instances that they compare very well, thereby substantiating the theories presented. At the smaller distances it can be seen that the results of the two methods converge, as expected, since even in the event of a single beam the dimensions become large compared to the distances involved. It is also interesting to note that the calculated results for the single beam are somewhat smaller and for the plate somewhat larger than the experimental results, respectively. For the ceiling consisting of a plate it is as expected. However, for the single beam these small deviations are expected to be caused by slight variations in material constants used in the calculations.

**Actual Installation**

The existing installation as depicted in figures 1 and 2, has the secondary bus-bars in a triangulated geometry measuring 4'-1"1", the current per phase is 60 ka. The two buses per phase are spaced at 9.75" with a ceiling height of 6'-6" above the center-phase. The constructional beams are in a parallel geometry and widely spaced compared to the dimensions in question. Using the method for the parallel geometry the surface temperatures were calculated for a range of distances, shown in figure 8, by curve i. The maximum measured temperature (actual temperature will fluctuate depending on the particular "load" condition in the furnace) was approximately 75 °C, being close to the predicted value at the distance involved.

Maintaining the same triangulation of the bus-bars but increasing the phase current to 80 kA (nominal) and recalculating the temperatures, produced curve ii in figure 8. Using the method for the "plated" ceiling with this phase current resulted in curve iii. As expected, these temperatures are considerably higher. Therefore, to maintain the induced losses (and subsequent temperature increases) at the increased current levels to those existing before upgrading, it was recommended to run beams in parallel to the secondary bus-bars at a minimum ceiling height of 7'-6". The new ceiling presently being installed is constructed according to these recommendations.

![Fig. 7. Temperature rise at the surface due to three-phase conductors. Circles show experimental values for I-beam.](image)

![Fig. 6. Temperature rise at the surface due to a single conductor. Circles show experimental values for I-beam.](image)

![Fig. 8. Surface temperature increases in actual installation (+ indicates measured value in existing installation).](image)
CONCLUSIONS

The calculated results have been substantiated by experimental data in a variety of conductor geometries and ceiling constructions. The agreement in all situations is excellent thereby substantiating the theories presented.
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