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Darren Yohan
Gold nanoparticles (GNPs) possess a number of useful characteristics that have catapulted them into the mainstream of cancer research. Their optical properties enable them to be used in photodynamic and photothermal therapy as well as contrast agents in photoacoustic imaging. In addition, the ability to bind ligands to the GNP surface has made them valuable bio-marker-aware drug carriers. But the effectiveness of any cancer fighting tool relies on homogenous distribution and penetration throughout the tumor, and the uptake and transport dynamics of GNPs has previously been held to monolayer cell models. In this work, multicellular layers (MCLs) are used as a solid tumor model to measure the penetration and uptake of GNPs in tumor tissue. MCLs offer a unique way to bridge the gap between in vitro single-layer cell models and the in vivo tumor. The effects of increased cell-to-cell connections, extracellular matrix and tumor characteristics are investigated to deliver new insights into the transport of GNPs in tissue.
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Introduction

Figure 1: Schematic showing the milk ducts connecting the lobules to the nipple.

Figure 2: MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231 cells grown at the monolayer level and incubated with 20 nm GNPs.

Figure 3: GNP Synthesis via the Turkevich method. In Step 1, chloroauric acid is added to distilled water and brought to a boil. In step 2, sodium citrate is added to the mixture and acts as both a reducing and capping agent. After several minutes, the mixture changes color indicating the formation of GNPs.

Figure 4: Basic schematic of MLC growth. Monolayer cells are cultured and then seeded onto a semi-permeable membrane. The MLC structure then grows upward forming layers of cells in the insert as opposed to spreading out as cells do in monolayer cultures.

Elucidating the Uptake and Distribution of Nanoparticles in Solid Tumors via a Multilayered Cell Culture Model

Figure 1: Use of MCL cell model to understand the NP transport through the tumor tissue. A, Transport of GNPs through the blood vessels and enters tumor vasculature. The interface between tumor vasculature and tumor tissue is highlighted with a yellow box. B, GNPs escape the tumor vasculature through leaky endothelial cells (1) and enter tumor cells through ECM. C, Description shown in B is modeled using proposed MCL cell model. MCL act as a tumor tissue being fed by tissue culture media containing GNPs (2).

Figure 2: Growth of MCLs. A, Diagrammatic representation of the apparatus used to culture MCLs. Tissue culture inserts are held suspended in stirred media (top left). The set-up was placed in a humidified incubator with 5% O₂, 5% CO₂, 95% N₂. After the growth, GNPs were introduced into the media to investigate the NP transport through tissue (top right). B, A cross-section of an unstained MCF-7 tissue. C, A cross-section of a MCF-7 tissue stained with eosin to map the ECM. Areas marked in green belong to ECM while the unstained regions represent cells.

Figure 3: Visualization and mapping of GNPs in cells using CytoViva hyperspectral Imaging (HSI) optical microscopy. A, The unmapped dark field HSI image with GNPs visible as bright spots. B, The result of a spectral angle mapping on the HSI image. GNPs have been labeled red as a result of matching spectra from individual pixels. C, GNP spectra from few NP clusters localized within cells and the reference spectra (inset) used to create the spectral angle map in B.

Figure 4: Characterization of monolayer and multilayer cell structures. A-B, Comparison of growth curves for the MDA-MB-231 and MCF-7 cell lines at monolayer and multilayer level,
respectively. C-D, A monolayer and multilayer cross-section of MDA-MB-231 cells stained with eosin to highlight the ECM, respectively. Cell population doubling times for MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231 monolayer cell cultures were 38.83 and 37.10 hrs, respectively. Cell population doubling times for MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231 multilayer cell cultures were 48.36 and 51.07 hrs, respectively. Error bars represent the standard deviation and n = 3.

Figure 5: GNP uptake in monolayer cell models. A, NP uptake per cell as a function of cell density. B, Total uptake of NPs as a function of cell density. C and D show samples of H&E stained monolayer MDA-MB-231 and MCF-7 cells with GNPs present mostly in the cells. Error bars represent the standard deviation and n = 3.

Figure 6: Differences in extracellular matrix (ECM) in MDA-MB-231 and MCF-7 tissue structures. A and C, MCL tissue of MCF-7 cells at 10x and 60x magnification, respectively. B and D, MCL tissue of MDA-MB-231 cells at 10x and 60x, respectively. Differences in the ECM structure can be seen at both magnifications. MCF-7 tissue had a much more organized ECM structure while MDA-MB-231 tissue has a disorganized ECM structure which allowed easy penetration of molecules into deeper tissues.

Figure 7: GNP uptake in multilayer cell models. A, Accumulation of GNPs in tissue as a function of its thickness. NPs were able to penetrate deep into tissue in MDA-MB-231 tissue due to the break down in ECM matrix. In MCF-7 tissue, most NPs were localized at the top layers and properly organized ECM acted as a barrier for their transport deep into the tissue. B, The normalized percent increase in GNP uptake as a function of tissue thickness. Error bars represent the standard deviation and n = 3.

Figure 8: Mapping of NP transport through different layers of a thicker tissue. A, A schematic depicting the multiple layers of a tissue cross-section. B, Accumulation of NPs in different layers of tissue. C-D, Mapping of the NP distribution in MDA-MB-231 and MCF-7 tissue (with GNPs labelled in red), respectively. E-F, A sample of reflectance spectra of GNPs localized in ECM and cells, respectively. Error bars represent the standard deviation and n = 3.

**Multilayer Cell Culture Uptake of 50 nm Gold Nanoparticles**

Figure 1: Thematic figure representing the comparison of GNP uptake in monolayer (left) and multilayer cell cultures (right). GNPs spread evenly regardless of size in monolayer cultures and 50 nm GNPs have a higher relative uptake per cell. In the MLC 50 nm GNPs display poorer accumulation and penetration as they wrestle with the prohibitive effects of the ECM.

Figure 2: Accumulation of GNPs as a function of tissue thickness for 20 nm GNPs (left) and 50 nm GNPs (right).

Figure 3: Penetration of GNPs calculated by taking the difference in accumulation on consecutive days of growth. The left group shows the penetration of 20 nm GNPs as a function of MCL layer and the right shows the penetration of 50 nm GNPs as a function of layer.

Figure 4: Single day GNP uptake per cell for the monolayer and multilayer cultures across both cell lines for the 20 and 50 nm GNPs.
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**GNPs** – Gold Nanoparticles

**MCL** – Multicellular layers; also seen as MCC (multicellular cultures) or MLC (multilayered cell culture) in other works
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**VEGF** – Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor
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**SAM** – Spectral Angle Mapping

**FBS** – Fetal Bovine Serum

**DLS** – Dynamic Light Scattering

**RT** – Radiation Therapy

**PTT** – Photothermal Therapy

**PDT** – Photodynamic Therapy
**Introduction**

1.1.0 - Motivations

Cancer is a term with a broad definition. It encompasses a class of diseases that involve excessive and abnormal cell growth leading to the appearance of tumors. Many of these growths can begin in one tissue in the body and quickly spread to other organs in a process called metastasis. The local spread of a cancer throughout one organ is termed invasion and many cancers are characterized by their invasiveness or ability to spread quickly throughout the originating organ. As cancer can arise in a number of different tissues, each with different metastatic and invasive qualities, there are over 100 different cancers that have been detected in humans and each are named for the cell-type in which the tumor originated(1-3).

![Figure 1: Schematic showing the milk ducts connecting the lobules to the nipple.](image-url)
Cancers originating in epithelial cells are referred to as carcinomas. These cancers are some of the most common types and include breast, lung, prostate and colon cancers. About a quarter of all cancer occurrences in women are breast cancers and it was reported that in 2012, over 522,000 deaths were attributed to breast cancer worldwide. The most common type of malignant breast cancer is the invasive ductal carcinoma which makes up roughly 80% of all breast cancer cases(1). This type of cancer describes a species of cells that invades the breast tissue (invasive), originates in the milk ducts that carry milk to the nipple from the lobule (ductal, see Figure 1) and were formed from normal epithelial cells in breast tissue (carcinoma).

Gold nanoparticles (GNPs), particles in the size range from 1-100 nm, have recently been used to target these cancer cells in a variety of therapies(4-42). However much of what is known about how GNPs interact with these types of cancer cells is derived from monolayer cell cultures that ultimately fall short of representing the in vivo tumor environment. Because of this, the effectiveness of several GNP therapies and systems are sometimes overstated and in vivo trials fall short of expectations(11,43-46). In this work, a new multilayer cell culture is used in an attempt to bridge the gap between the in vitro model and in vivo realities.
1.1.1 – Considerations for Cell Lines

In this work, two cell lines: MDA-MB-231 and MCF-7 were chosen as a model tumor type. These cell lines were chosen for their similarities and one key difference. Both cell lines are invasive ductal carcinomas and thus represent one of the most commonly encountered types of cancers. This also means that both cell lines are derived from the same tissue which helps limit the potential variations between tissue types. In addition, both cell lines have comparable doubling times and sizes. The MDA-MB-231 cell line however has been identified as being far more aggressive and invasive than the MCF-7 cell line(47-53). This key difference affects the makeup and proliferation of not only the cells themselves but also the extracellular matrix (ECM) that surrounds them. The importance of the ECM and its makeup will be discussed in section 1.1.3.

Figure 2: MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231 cells grown at the monolayer level and incubated with 20 nm GNPs.
1.1.2 – The Tumor Environment

The tumor environment consists of abnormal cells as well as their surrounding ECM, blood vessels, immune cells, fibroblasts and signaling molecules(54,55). Tumor cells can readily activate a variety of pathways by communicating with their surrounding environment. One of the most important of these pathways is that of tumor angiogenesis. Cancer cells require a supply of nutrients and oxygen in order to maintain the excessive proliferation rate that is a hallmark of the disease. By secreting growth factors such as Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor (VEGF), tumors can promote the growth of blood vessels(25,54-58).

This increase in VEGF and other factors that promote the growth of blood vessels in tumors leads to a disorganized vasculature that differs greatly from that of normal tissue. Normal tissue consists of highly ordered, hierarchal vascular networks. This organized network is driven by metabolic demands and regulated by pro and anti-angiogenic molecular factors. Coupled with this vasculature is a lymphatic draining system that removes metabolic waste from the interstitium(54,55,59). In tumors the aggressive expression of pro-angiogenic factors like VEGF leads to the development of immature, torturous and hyperpermeable blood vessels. Tumor vessels can lack smooth muscle cells and possess a discontinuous endothelial lining which leads to increased permeability. Blood vessel diameters and shapes are also found to be non-uniform in tumor tissue and abnormal bulges and blind ends can form. All of these effects can lead to regions of hypoxia and anoxia in tumor tissue which can give rise to resistance during radiation therapy. This leads to a drastic falloff in the ability of tumors to deliver nutrients and oxygen homogenously to all cells of the tumor while leaky, dilated lymphatic vessels hamper the removal of metabolic waste(55).
It has been reported that in tumors, cells can appear further than 150 µm from the nearest blood vessel (60). In such a case, any agents delivered to the tumor through the bloodstream will have to navigate the heterogeneous tumor environment without assistance from any vascular network to reach their target cells. The purpose of this work is to examine the penetration and transport of gold nanoparticles (GNPs) through such tissue. To model the tumor tissue, multilayered cell cultures (MLCs) were grown and GNPs of several sizes were incubated with samples of increasing thickness. The accumulation of GNPs in the MLC tissue was measured to determine the major factors affecting GNP transport through tumor tissue in the absence of vasculature.
1.1.3 – The Extracellular Matrix

As cells grow they secrete proteins and molecules that form a structural and biological support network known as the extracellular matrix (ECM). Because different cells secrete different proteins with different functions, the composition and architecture of the ECM varies from one cell line to another. The ECM carries out a number of important functions and enables cell-to-cell communication, cell adhesion and provides a rigid support structure that gives tissue its shape. This support structure also determines the permeability of tissue to molecules and other particles (61, 62).

In epithelial cells, the ECM combines the use of a highly organized collagen network, hyaluronic acid and a number of proteins to prohibit the passage of macromolecules through tissue. Transport through the ECM is largely mediated via diffusion and thus the ECM is less permeable to particles of larger sizes as given by the stokes-Einstein equation:

\[ D = \frac{k_b T}{6\pi \mu R_h} \]

In the above equation D is the diffusion coefficient in m/s (often cm/s), k\textsubscript{b} the Boltzmann constant, T the temperature in K, \( \mu \) the viscosity in kg/s/m and R\text{h} the hydrodynamic radius of the passing particle in m.

Several studies have noted that the limiting effects of the ECM are tied to collagen content and the structural organization of the ECM itself and less so to the hyaluronic acid. Convection through the interstitial matrix, while an important part of interstitial fluid and particle movement, is limited by the hypertension found in the interstitium (63-66). Many anticancer agents such as liposomes and gene vectors are large molecules ranging in size from 90-300 nm. Nanoparticle
agents being developed also vary greatly in size ranging from 2-200 nm (55,64-67). Thus diffusion through the interstitial matrix of the tumor presents a major barrier to the delivery of therapeutic agents and drugs.

Cancer cells have been shown to disrupt and change the composition and makeup of the ECM. Invasive species in particular have been shown to attack the collagen meshwork that provides the ECM with its ability to limit the flow of foreign particles through tissue (49,50). The two cell lines used in this work are thus separated by the makeup and composition of their respective ECM. The measurements taken will establish what affect the ECM has on the transport of GNPs in tissue.
Gold nanoparticles (GNPs) consist of gold particles in the size range from 1-100 nm. This size range allows for particles that can potentially traverse both the cellular and nuclear membrane\(^{(35,68)}\). In recent years, GNPs and other nanoparticles have emerged as one of the most robust and flexible tools in cancer research. Their applications cover the full breadth of the clinical spectrum from enhancing diagnostic imaging\(^{(69)}\) to photothermal\(^{(12,70-75)}\) and photodynamic therapy\(^{(11,22,76-80)}\), drug delivery\(^{(6,8,12,43,77,81-85)}\) and radiosensitization\(^{(21,23,26,38,39,86-88)}\) owing to their high Z number. Each of these therapies
places different requirements on the proximity of the GNPs to the target cells. Thus the design of GNP-ligand systems depends heavily on the planned treatment. As drug carriers, GNPs provide protection for pH sensitive drugs and can be functionalized with a variety of biological ligands to increase circulation time and cellular uptake(6,8,82).

Many of the more interesting applications of GNPs stem from their unique optical properties which can be tuned for increased effectiveness(19,30,89). For example, it was found that gold nanoshells exhibit flexible photo-absorption and scattering peaks that correspond with changes to the ratio of the shell’s core to external radius. The peak photo-absorption band of gold nanorods is also tunable and is determined by the aspect ratio of the rods themselves. Many of these unique optical properties stem from the phenomenon of surface plasmon resonance (SPR). Conduction-band electrons can be made to oscillate by light incident on the surface of the particle. When the frequency of the incident light matches the frequency of the oscillation, the SPR effect is achieved. Because this frequency changes with the particle size, the absorption and scattering characteristics of the particles are highly determined by the size of the particles or as in the case with rods and shells, the interplay between the various dimensions of the particles(5,14,19,24,30,72,90-94).

GNPs can also be functionalized by a variety of biological ligands. These ligands can be bound to the surface of GNPs by electrostatic attraction, hydrophobic interactions, and chemisorption or by a head group on the ligand. In particular, the thiol group has a natural affinity to gold and is a popular choice for binding ligands to the surface of GNPs. Biological ligands can provide GNPs with cell-specific targeting, increased uptake and even nuclear transport while maintaining biocompatibility(7-9,35,38,43,82,95-98).
Gold nanospheres are also remarkably easy to synthesize. The most commonly used method for creating gold nanospheres is the Turkevitch method. In this method, chloroauric acid (HAuCl4) is brought to a boil and brought into contact with small amounts of sodium citrate. The citrate reduces the acid and also acts as a capping agent for the resulting spheres (Figure 3)(99). Later works showed that lowering the amount of sodium citrate produced spheres of increasing size with low polydispersity(100). GNPs can then be characterized either by UV spectrum analysis to determine their absorption peaks or by dynamic light scattering or transmission electron microscopy.
1.2.1 – Gold Nanoparticle Uptake in Monolayer Cultures and Solid Tumor Models

GNPs were found to enter cells through receptor-mediated endocytosis (RME)(101). At the monolayer level, several studies have observed that GNPs exhibit a size-dependent uptake(102). This size-dependence is explained by the energy dynamics surrounding RME with 50 nm spheres showing a significantly higher uptake than either larger or smaller sizes(102,103). As particles interact with the surface of the cell, receptors are recruited and bound to the GNP in an exothermic process. This binding of receptors to the surface of the particle provides the cell with the energy required to begin the invagination process that will surround the particle and prepare it for entry into the cell. Smaller particles provide less surface area for receptors to bind and as a result offer less total energy for the invagination process. In larger sizes, the number of receptors required to surround the GNP is much higher and the limited number of receptors means that fewer large-sized particles can be taken up by the cell(104). As such there exists an optimal particle size that takes full advantage of both of these dynamics.

One possible explanation for this effect was presented by Zhang et. al who proposed a thermodynamic model that governed the energy interplay between cell receptors, ligands on the GNP surface, and the elastic deformation energy of the cell(105). The model supposes that each membrane-bound receptor on the surface of the cell has a cross-sectional area $A_0$ such that the maximum possible number of receptors bound to the surface of a GNP with radius $R$ is given by

$$K = \frac{4\pi R^2}{A_0}.$$

The binding of cell receptors to ligands on the GNP releases a chemical energy $\mu$ which is used to overcome the energy barrier posed by the elastic deformation energy of the cell membrane $\Gamma$ where $\Gamma$ is a function of $\kappa$, the bending rigidity of the cell membrane and $\sigma$ the membrane...
tension. In the case of small GNPs, the chemical energy released by the receptor-ligand binding \( \mu \) is too small to overcome \( \Gamma \) and thus small GNPs are incapable of entering a cell via endocytosis unless they are bundled together. This result is corroborated by Chithrani et. al who showed that 7 nm GNPs were only able to enter cells in cluster of \(~6\) GNPs(102). At larger particle sizes the GNPs compete for a finite number of receptors on the surface of the cell membrane and thus uptake suffers. While these receptors can diffuse along the cell membrane and are recycled after endocytosis, their limited number presents the major barrier to the uptake of larger sized GNPs(104,105).

In tumor tissue however, the local environment is much more complex than in monolayer cell cultures. In recent studies, it was shown that NP penetration into the core of multicellular spheroids diminished as particle sizes increased from 20 to 40 to 100 nm with <5\% of the 100 nm NPs reaching the core of the spheroid compared to 57\% and 32\% of the 20 and 40 nm NPs(64).

Several factors have been proposed to explain the poor penetration and distribution of GNPs in solid tumors. These factors include the binding of GNPs to tumor tissue, increased interstitial fluid pressure, hindered diffusion due to cell packing and the ECM(56,61,62,106,107). It was shown however that the binding of GNPs to tumor tissue can be reduced by coating the GNPs in polyethylene glycol(108). Furthermore, the elimination of the pressure gradient in solid tumors yielded only minimal gains in macromolecule delivery(109) which suggests that cell packing and the ECM are major factors in the differences between monolayer and solid tumor penetration dynamics(55,62). In this work, the importance of the ECM will be outlined as GNPs will no longer have direct access to cells but will instead be made to penetrate through layers of cells and ECM in the tumor tissue. To accomplish this, a much more complex cell culture is required. In
this work, multilayered cell cultures were produced to model the layers of cells and ECM found in tumor tissue and these cultures are discussed in the next section.
1.3.0 – Multilayered Cell Cultures

Figure 4: Basic schematic of MCL growth. Monolayer cells are cultured and then seeded onto a semi-permeable membrane. The MCL structure then grows upward forming layers of cells in the insert as opposed to spreading out as cells do in monolayer cultures.

To better model the tumor environment, cells were cultured on a semi-permeable membrane as described by Minchinton et. al(110). The multilayered cell culture (MCL or MLC in other works) develops into a thick mat of cells that acts as a barrier to the penetration of drugs and GNPs much in the same way as solid tumors and multicellular spheroids. They have been used to study the penetration of a variety of anticancer drugs as well as agents specifically targeted to hypoxic and nutrient deprived cells where penetration is of the utmost importance(59). Previous work using MCLs demonstrated the development of hypoxia and necrosis in MCLs greater than 150 µm thick. The appearance of necrosis and hypoxia at 150 µm of tissue thickness presents an upward bound on the tissue sizes considered in this study(60,111-115). The effects of hypoxia and necrosis on the transport of GNPs was shown recently to be quite complex(116) and will be pursued at the multilayer level in future works.

The geometry of the MLC also sets it apart from other 3D cell cultures like the multicellular spheroid. In the spheroid geometry, drugs and agents pass radially inwards from the edges of the
spheroid. This geometry is often the reverse of in vivo physiology and makes it difficult to ascertain the penetration of drugs in a solid tumor(59,110,115). The MCL provides a top-down or side-to-side geometry and better mimics the distribution of agents out of a blood vessel and down into tissue. By growing MCLs and harvesting them in succession, a measure of penetration as a function of tissue thickness can be observed by taking the difference in accumulation on consecutive days of growth. In this way the penetration of GNPs in tumors of varying sizes can be determined. The limiting effects of the ECM, the cell layers and the GNP transport mechanisms on the penetration of GNPs in tumor tissue can all be measured using the MCL model. While in vivo tumors offer the most clinically relevant tool for determining the spatial distribution and penetration of GNPs, the complex biological factors present prohibit a focused analysis of the individual components that contribute to the transport of GNPs and other agents(55,65,67). As such models such as the MCL and the multicellular spheroid are valuable tools that can lead to the improved design of anticancer agents including NP-based systems.
1.4.0 – Hypothesis and Specific Objectives

This study rests on the hypothesis that the role of the ECM in mediating the transport of GNPs is a significant one. To test this hypothesis, 20 nm GNPs were incubated both in monolayer cultures and in multilayered cell cultures using both the MDA-MB-231 and MCF-7 cell lines. The specific objectives are:

- To observe the structural difference in ECM makeup between the MDA-MB-231 and MCF-7 cell lines via dark-field microscopy
- To provide a quantitative measure of GNP penetration in multilayered cell cultures
- To compare the accumulation of GNPs in multilayered cell cultures in two cell lines with differing ECMs
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Elucidating the Uptake and Distribution of Nanoparticles in Solid Tumors via a Multilayered Cell Culture Model
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2.0.0 – Abstract

Multicellular Layers (MCLs) have previously been used to determine the pharmokinetics of a variety of different cancer drugs including paclitaxel, doxorubicin, methotrexate, and 5-fluorouracil across a number of cell lines. It is not known how nanoparticles (NPs) navigate through the tumor microenvironment once they leave the tumor blood vessel. In this study, we used the MCL model to study the uptake and penetration dynamics of NPs. GNPs were used as a model NP system to map the NP distribution within tissue-like structures. Our study showed that NP uptake and transport is dependent on the tumor cell type. MDA-MB231 tissue showed deeper penetration of GNPs as compared to MCF-7. Intracellular and extracellular distribution of NPs was mapped using CytoViva imaging. The ability of MCLs to mimic tumor tissue characteristics makes them a useful tool in assessing the efficacy of particle distribution in solid tumors.

This research has been published in Volume 7, Issue 2 (pp127-137) of Nano-Micro Letters in April 2015. Reproduced with permission from Springer Group.
2.0.1 - Introduction

Recent progress in the use of nanotechnology for biomedical research has led to the development of materials called “nanoparticles (NPs)” for improved therapeutics and imaging in cancer therapy (1-3). Cancer nanotechnology allows for the development of safer yet more effective diagnostic and therapeutic modalities for cancer therapy (4-6). The ultimate goal of nanoparticle (NP)-based platforms will be the targeted delivery and monitoring of therapeutics to tumors while causing minimum side effects (7-11). Among other NP-systems, gold nanoparticles (GNPs) play a significant role in cancer therapeutics because they: a) can enhance the damage induced by radiation and anticancer drugs, b) produce heat upon exposure to UV and Near Infra Red (NIR) radiation and hence provide the possibility of destruction of cancer cells through thermal ablation, c) enhance the delivery of drugs that are highly water-insoluble or unstable in the biological environment, d) increase the lifetime of drugs and imaging agents through NP-surface modification to avoid drug loss due to rapid clearance and metabolism (12-14). Hence, gold nanostructures are being used as a therapeutic agent in radiation therapy (RT), chemotherapy, photothermal therapy (PTT), and photodynamic therapy (PDT). These new opportunities encourage innovations leading to effective combinational therapy in the fight against cancer(2). However, the success of such innovations relies on GNP distribution and penetration throughout the tumor. To reach cancer cells in optimal quantities, therapeutic agents must be delivered to tumors through an imperfect blood vascular system, cross vessel walls into the interstitium, and penetrate multiple layers of tissue (see Fig. 1A,B) (15). In this study, we used multicellular layers (MCLs) to mimic tumor tissue to study the penetration and uptake of GNPs in a tumor-like microenvironment.
Figure 1: Use of MCL cell model to understand the NP transport through the tumor tissue. A, Transport of GNPs through the blood vessels and enters tumor vasculature. The interface between tumor vasculature and tumor tissue is highlighted with a yellow box. B, GNPs escape the tumor vasculature through leaky endothelial cells (1) and enter tumor cells through ECM. C, Description shown in B is modeled using proposed MCL cell model. MCL act as a tumor tissue being fed by tissue culture media containing GNPs (2).

The MCLs, developed by Wilson and his colleagues, provides a quantitative method that permits direct assessment of drug penetration through solid tissue (16, 17). MCLs share several properties with solid tumors derived from the same cell type, including a similar but not identical extracellular matrix (ECM) and tight junctions between epithelial cells (18). In addition, MCLs have been shown to exhibit areas of hypoxia, necrosis, as well as nutrient and proliferation
gradients and ECM generation (19-21). The development of MCL models has facilitated quantification of drug penetration through solid tissue. Although the direct \textit{in vivo} assessment, when feasible, has the advantage of duplicating the clinical environment most closely, \textit{in vitro} techniques offer the advantage of being able to examine the distribution of agents of interest in the absence of complicating factors such as pharmacokinetics and hepatic metabolism, which often differ between mice and humans (21).
Figure 2: Growth of MCLs. A, Diagrammatic representation of the apparatus used to culture MCLs. Tissue culture inserts are held suspended in stirred media (top left). The set-up was placed in a humidified incubator with 5% O₂, 5% CO₂, 95% N₂. After the growth, GNPs were introduced into the media to investigate the NP transport through tissue (top right). B, A cross-section of an unstained MCF-7 tissue. C, A cross-section of a MCF-7 tissue stained with eosin to map the ECM. Areas marked in green belong to ECM while the unstained regions represent cells.

In this study, we examine for the first time the ability of NPs to penetrate and distribute through an MCL, which is designed to mimic the environment of solid tumor tissue (see Fig. 2). The MCL offers a model to study the transport of NPs across the tumor tissue once it leaves the blood
vessel (Fig. 1C). The success of the NP-based imaging and therapy depends on their delivery to tumor tissue through blood vessels as illustrated in Fig. 1A. It is known that NPs can leak out through the tumor blood vessels and enter the tumor tissue as illustrated in Fig. 1B. In this study, we grew tissue-like MCLs of up to 130-150 µm to study the NP transport and uptake in tumor tissue (Fig. 2B). Since the proliferation of tumor cells can outpace the proliferation of cells that form blood vessels, vascular density can be reduced, and the of cells as far as 100 µm from blood vessels is possible (22-24). In addition, previous studies have shown that the presence of ECM in solid tumor tissue can affect the transport of molecules into tumor cells (25-27). These MCLs would also consist of ECM which is similar but not identical to the ones in solid tumors(18). Hence, our MCL model creates a reasonable tumor microenvironment to study NP transport in tumor tissue. Elucidation of NP uptake and transport in tissue-like structures will bridge the gap between in vitro single-layer cell models and the in vivo tumor models. Better understanding of the interface between nanotechnology and biology would accelerate development of clinical-based applications.

2.0.2 - Materials and Methods

Synthesis of GNPs

The GNPs were synthesized via the reduction of HAuCl₄ by sodium citrate, which is more commonly referred to as the Turkevitch Method (28). By varying the amount of sodium citrate, the method can yield nanoparticles of varying sizes. In this study, 20nm particles were chosen since our future goal is to use these NPs for gene delivery. The GNPs were characterized by Transmission Electron Microscopy (H7000; Hitachi Corp., Tokyo, Japan), UV-spectroscopy (Lambda 40; PerkinElmer, Waltham, MA) and by Dynamic Light Scattering using 90 Plus
Particle Sizer Analyzer (Brookhaven Instruments Corp., New York, NY) to determine the size of the particles.

![Figure 3: Visualization and mapping of GNPs in cells using CytoViva hyperspectral Imaging (HSI) optical microscopy. A, The unmapped dark field HSI image with GNPs visible as bright spots. B, The result of a spectral angle mapping on the HSI image. GNPs have been labeled red as a result of matching spectra from individual pixels. C, GNP spectra from few NP clusters localized within cells and the reference spectra (inset) used to create the spectral angle map in B.]

**Growth of MCLs**

The growth of the MCLs began with the growth of monolayer cells in a 5% CO$_2$ environment at 37°C. After reaching confluence, these cells were trypsinized, centrifuged, suspended in media, and counted. Approximately 150 000-200 000 cells were seeded onto a microporous membrane insert (Millicell, Bedford, MA). After allowing the cells to attach for two to four hours, the inserts were washed with PBS and then suspended in stirred media to grow. With pore sizes of 3
μm, the inserts allowed for the passage of stirred media through the base of the insert as seen in Fig. 2A.

Figure 4: Characterization of monolayer and multilayer cell structures. A-B, Comparison of growth curves for the MDA-MB-231 and MCF-7 cell lines at monolayer and multilayer level, respectively. C-D, A monolayer and multilayer cross-section of MDA-MB-231 cells stained with eosin to highlight the ECM, respectively. Cell population doubling times for MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231 monolayer cell cultures were 38.83 and 37.10 hrs, respectively. Cell population doubling times for MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231 multilayer cell cultures were 48.36 and 51.07 hrs, respectively. Error bars represent the standard deviation and n = 3.

Two breast cancer cell lines were used in this study: MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231. Cells were grown on the MCL insert in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Medium with 10% Fetal Bovine Serum (Sigma-Aldrich, Oakville, ON). Varying the growth time can control the thickness of the MCL.

Figure 2B is an image of an unstained tissue cross-section of MCF-7 cells. The ECM within the tissue was stained with eosin for visualization (Fig. 2C). The thickness of the tissue was controlled by the growth period. NP incubation of the MCLs was done by hanging the MCLs in multiwall plates and filling the top of the inserts with the GNP and media mixture. A supply of
fresh media was placed below the MCL to allow for GNPs that had penetrated the entire MCL structure.

**Quantification of GNP uptake**

![Graphs and images](image)

Figure 5: GNP uptake in monolayer cell models. A, NP uptake per cell as a function of cell density. B, Total uptake of NPs as a function of cell density. C and D show samples of H&E stained monolayer MDA-MB-231 and MCF-7 cells with GNPs present mostly in the cells. Error bars represent the standard deviation and n = 3.

During incubation, the MCL structures were hung in multi-well plates with a 15 nM GNP/media mixture on the top and a supply of fresh media on the bottom. Both the ‘top’ and ‘bottom’ volumes were collected and measured for gold content via ICP-AES (Inductively Coupled Plasma - Atomic Emission Spectroscopy) (Optima 7300 DV; PerkinElmer, Waltham, MA). By using a known concentration of GNPs, this method allows for the measurement of uptake and penetration through tissue, which results from taking the difference between the ‘top’ and
‘bottom’ samples. Monolayer cultures were also grown and harvested at different time points constituting 3 cell densities. These cultures were incubated with GNPs for 24 hours and were used for cell counting and monolayer gold uptake measurement via ICP-AES.

**Qualitative Analysis**

Figure 6: Differences in extracellular matrix (ECM) in MDA-MB-231 and MCF-7 tissue structures. A and C, MCL tissue of MCF-7 cells at 10x and 60x magnification, respectively. B and D, MCL tissue of MDA-MB-231 cells at 10x and 60x, respectively. Differences in the ECM structure can be seen at both magnifications. MCF-7 tissue had a much more organized ECM structure while MDA-MB-231 tissue has a disorganized ECM structure which allowed easy penetration of molecules into deeper tissues.
To qualitatively measure the distribution of the GNPs as well as to provide a measure of MCL growth characteristics, MCL inserts were frozen in OCT compound for sectioning. The frozen MCLs were then sectioned (Cryostat CM1900; Leica, Wetzlar, Germany) into 15-20 µm thick sections and placed onto slides for imaging. Tissue sections were stained with eosin to show the presence of ECM (Autostainer XL; Leica, Wetzlar, Germany). Stained tissue sections were imaged using the CytoViva Hyper Spectral Imaging (HSI) dark-field microscope.

*CytoViva imaging of NP distribution in MCLs*

The CytoViva technology used in this study was specifically designed for optical observation and spectral confirmation of NPs as they interact with cells and tissues. The illumination of the microscope system utilizes oblique angle illumination to create high SNR dark-field images. **Figure 3A** is a dark-field image of a group of cells with internalized GNPs. The GNPs appear bright, owing to their high scattering cross-section. A Hyperspectral Imaging System was used in conjunction with the dark-field microscope to obtain reflectance spectra from each pixel in the dark-field image. Spectral Angle Mapping can be performed to conduct a pixel-by-pixel matching of any spectra obtained by the system. This procedure was used to create a map of GNPs based on their reflectance spectra within the sample. The hyperspectral image shows which pixel matched the known GNP spectrum within a given spectral angle threshold set at 0.15 radians for this study. **Figure 3B** shows the hyperspectral image with an overlaid spectral angle map where the red dots represent matching GNP spectra. **Figure 3C** shows reflectance spectra from one of the red dots and the reference spectrum (white color) to which pixels were matched. The background reflectance spectra from the cytoplasm and ECM are shown. It can be clearly seen that the GNP clusters have a very distinct reflectance spectra compared to the background.
2.0.3 - Results and discussion

Figure 7: GNP uptake in multilayer cell models. A, Accumulation of GNPs in tissue as a function of its thickness. NPs were able to penetrate deep into tissue in MDA-MB-231 tissue due to the break down in ECM matrix. In MCF-7 tissue, most NPs were localized at the top layers and properly organized ECM acted as a barrier for their transport deep into the tissue. B, The normalized percent increase in GNP uptake as a function of tissue thickness. Error bars represent the standard deviation and n = 3.

In this study, we were able to demonstrate for the first time the differences in GNP uptake between monolayer and tissue-like MCL cell models. GNPs are being used as a radiation dose enhancer, anticancer drug carrier, and as an imaging contrast agent in cancer research, as
discussed in the introduction. However, the success of NP-based imaging and therapy depends on the efficiency of delivery of NPs tumor tissue as illustrated in **Fig. 1A**. In this study, we investigated the NP transport across tumor tissues by using MCL cell models for the first time. It was successfully used to understand anticancer drug diffusion in tissues. The results were consistent with the drug diffusion in solid tumor in animal models.

It is known that NPs can leak out through the disorganized endothelial cells in tumor blood vessels and enter the tumor tissue (**Fig. 1B**). In particular, this MCL model was used to study the transport of NPs across the tumor tissue once they leave the blood vessel (**Fig. 1C**). The device used for growing MCLs is shown in **Fig. 2A**. A tissue cross-section of an approximately 150 µm thick MCF-7 cell is shown in **Fig. 2B,C**. An unstained tissue cross-section is shown in **Fig. 2B** while a tissue cross-section stained with eosin to highlight the ECM is shown in **Fig. 2C**. We used the CytoViva HSI technique to image the tissue and NPs. Unlike other optical imaging techniques, HSI allows us to map the GNPs via reflectance spectroscopy. This imaging technology does not require optically labeling NPs for their visualization. This is the first time that such imaging technology was used to visualize GNP distribution in tissue-like structures. **Figure 3A** shows the unmapped dark-field HSI image with GNPs visible as bright yellow spots, while **Fig. 3B** shows the result of spectral angle mapping on the HSI image. GNPs have been labeled red as a result of matching spectra (shown as an inset figure in **Fig. 3C**) from individual pixels. **Figure 3C** shows a few spectra from GNP clusters displayed in **Fig. 3A**. This imaging technique was used to map the NP distribution through the tissue. Our first goal was to investigate the difference between monolayer and MCL cell models in terms of growth before investigating the NP uptake and transport.
We monitored the growth of monolayer and multilayer cell samples over a period of time to understand the difference between these two cell models. As shown in Fig. 4, MCLs differed from the monolayer cultures in terms of growth and ECM generation. Both cell lines showed an increase in population doubling time in the MCLs (Fig. 4A, B). For example, the population doubling time was increased from 37 (at monolayer level) to 51 hrs (at multilayer level) for MDA-MB-231 while it was from 39 (at monolayer level) to 48 hrs (at multilayer level) for MCF-7. Increase in population doubling time has previously been demonstrated for cell lines growing as solid tumors in vivo (29-31). Earlier work has shown that MCLs develop oxygen, proliferation, and nutrient gradients that lead to deficiencies in their availability across the MCL sample (19, 20, 32). However, in the case of monolayer cell cultures, the uniform availability of oxygen and nutrients is likely a major contributor to the lower doubling time (29, 33, 34).

Figures 4C,D show that there is significant increase in the presence of ECM (areas marked in green) in MCLs in contrast to monolayer cell cultures. The data is shown for the MDA-MB-231 cell line. Similar results were obtained for the MCF-7 cell line as well. There were major increases in the presence of ECM in the MCLs for both cell lines. ECM in the MCLs also appears thicker and more structured as compared to the monolayer level. In addition, GNPs (yellowish brown small dot-like structures) were mostly localized within the cells in monolayer cell cultures (Fig. 4C). However, GNPs were localized in both the ECM and cells in the MCL cell cultures (Fig. 4D). Hence, one of the goals of this study was to understand how much effect this ECM has over NP transport at tissue level.

We have also quantified the NP uptake and transport in monolayer and MCL cell models. At the monolayer level, NP uptake per cell was mostly independent of the cell density (see Fig.5). This is likely due to the fact that GNPs introduced into monolayer cultures have immediate access to
all cells thus enabling the efficient uptake of GNPs per cell. For example, NPs did not need to transport through a dense ECM. Optical images showed that most of the NPs were localized within the cells and the presence of ECM was minimal (Fig. 5 B, C). In the next section, we will discuss the differences in ECM generated for MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231 cell lines before discussing the NP transport through these tissue structures.

The difference in ECM between the two MCL cell models (MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231) is made apparent in Fig. 6 where both were stained with eosin and appear green when viewed with a dark-field condenser. The ECM of MDA-MB-231 appears far less organized than the ECM of MCF-7. The ECM of MCF-7 presents ordered scaffolding with cells separated in distinct layers throughout the structure (Fig. 6A, C) whereas the cell layers are much less compartmentalized in the MDA-MB-231 ECM (Fig. 6B, D). It has been suggested that aggressively invasive tumor cells like MDA-MB-231 secrete matrix-degrading proteinases that serve to break down collagen (35-37). The degradation of the collagen network in the ECM has been shown to significantly diminish the ability of the ECM to control the flow of interstitial traffic (25, 38-40). This breakdown of ECM is not as apparent in the non-invasive MCF-7 cell line and ECM will act as a barrier for NP transport. It is clear that ECM of different tumor cell lines can vary and these models will play a bigger role in optimizing NP-based therapeutic and imaging systems before moving into in vivo studies. In the next section, we discuss how the differences in ECM can affect the NP transport through these tissue-like MCL models.

Our investigation of NP transport in tissue-likeMCL models showed that the NP penetration in tissue was dependent on the tumor cell line and it could be due to the differences in their ECM structure as discussed in the previous section (Fig. 7). At monolayer cell cultures, there was a linear increase in NP uptake as a function of cell density (Fig. 5). However, we noticed a
deviation from this linear increase in NP uptake especially in MCF-7 multilayer structures (Fig.7). This reduction in uptake as a function of tissue depth was also apparent for a number of anti-cancer drugs, and is likely a major contributor to resistance to drugs in tumors (18, 21, 41, 42). According to the Fig. 7B, the MCF-7 multilayer structures had a much higher resistance to GNP penetration as compared to the MDA-MB-231 MCL structure. This variation in NP penetration through MCLs generated from different cell lines could be mainly due to the differences in their ECM as discussed previously. In MDA-MB-231 tissue, NPs were able to penetrate deeper due to breakdown in the ECM matrix. However, MCF-7 tissue had a much more organized ECM and therefore ECM acted as a barrier for NP penetration.
In the previous section, we discussed the accumulated transport of NPs in tissues with different thickness (Fig. 7). It would be interesting to evaluate the NP transport through different layers of a thicker tissue. We were able to differentiate the NP transport in different layers of a thicker tissue quantitatively and qualitatively (see Fig. 8). According to Fig. 8B, MDA-MB-231 tissue
has more NP penetration in deeper tissue in contrast to MCF-7. **Figure 8 C** shows that MDA-MB-231 cells were able to access NPs deeper into the tissue. Transport of NPs through MCF-7 tissue is illustrated in **Fig. 8D** and fewer were found in lower depths of the tissue. This further explains the outcome shown in **Fig. 6**. Using our CytoViva hyperspectral optical microscope, we looked at the spectral differences between NPs localized within the cell and in ECM. The clustering of NPs in the cells is expected as GNPs are known to be grouped into endosomes upon entry into the cell via receptor-mediated endocytosis (43, 44). According to **Figures 8 E and F**, NPs internalized within the cells have an overall red shift in the spectra as compared to NPs in the ECM. This shows that most of the NPs in the ECM are still not aggregated as compared to the ones within cells. Hence, it is important to optimize the transport of these NPs through ECM in order for them to reach cells effectively. This is the first time NP transport through tissue-like structures is studied using MCL cell models. There is a tremendous effort to incorporate NPs into existing cancer therapeutic protocols. We have demonstrated that MCL models can be used to study the transport of novel NP-based systems to optimize their delivery within tumor tissue. This would accelerate such NP-based innovations into clinics sooner improving the quality of life of cancer patients.

**2.0.4 - Conclusions**

This work demonstrates the importance in understanding the limitations of monolayer cultures in their ability to predict the uptake and effectiveness of cancer treatments in solid tumors. Furthermore, these results underscore the importance of the ECM in terms of transport throughout tumor tissue. By engaging these issues, GNP-based systems can be designed for combined chemoradiation (chemotherapy and radiation therapy) to better overcome the resistance to drugs and radiation found in solid tumors (2).
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3.0.0 – Abstract

Gold nanoparticles (GNPs) are a major component of anti-cancer therapeutics. In addition to being used as radiation sensitizers, they have also been employed to increase the efficacy and delivery of photodynamic and photothermal agents as well as chemotherapeutic drugs. However their success depends on their ability to penetrate and distribute homogenously in tumor tissue. In this work, multicellular layers (MCLs) were grown to model the post-vascular tumor environment. GNPs of 20 nm and 50 nm diameters were used to discern the effects of size on the GNP penetration and distribution dynamics. Though the GNPs were able to penetrate into the tumor tissue, their penetration was inversely proportional to the GNP size. Similar to in vivo tissue, the MCLs exhibited a much more extensive extracellular matrix (ECM) than monolayer cell cultures. This increased ECM could be the major cause of the size-dependent effects of GNP uptake in tumor tissue. This work highlights the importance of both the improved tissue model provided by MCLs as well as the importance of GNP functionalization in cancer therapeutics.
Figure 1: Thematic figure representing the comparison of GNP uptake in monolayer (left) and multilayer cell cultures (right). GNPs spread evenly regardless of size in monolayer cultures and 50 nm GNPs have a higher relative uptake per cell. In the MLC 50 nm GNPs display poorer accumulation and penetration as they wrestle with the prohibitive effects of the ECM.

3.1.0 – Size Dependence of Gold Nanoparticle Uptake

Of particular interest to NP research is the size dependence of NP uptake that has been confirmed by a number of independent groups (1-3). It was found that the uptake of GNPs across a variety of cell lines was highest for 50 nm GNPs and that smaller (<40 nm) as well as larger (>80 nm) GNPs had almost a twofold decrease in uptake per cell (1,4).

This thermodynamic model described in section 1.2.1 adequately predicts an optimal GNP size for uptake consistent with the findings by other groups (5,6). However it was shown that the size dependence of GNP uptake may differ between monolayer cultures and solid tumor models that more accurately represent the *in vivo* tumor environment (7-13). The results from section 2 suggest the possibility that the ECM may play a large role in determining the transport dynamics.
of GNPs. Given that transport through the ECM is diffusion-dependent, there exists at the very least, a competing dynamic that predicts GNP transport to be inversely proportional to GNP size. By comparing the 20 nm results with a second set of 50 nm trials, the overall effect might be elucidated.
3.1.1 – Size Dependence at the Multilayer Level

**Preliminary Results**

One of the most startling results of monolayer GNP uptake studies was the size-dependence of GNP uptake\(^{(1)}\). It was shown by several groups, that 50 nm GNPs are preferentially taken up by cells as opposed to smaller or larger GNPs\(^{(1,5,14-17)}\). This phenomenon is largely explained by the energy interplay between the receptor-ligand binding process and the deformation energy of the cell (see section 1.2.1\(^{(5,6)}\)). It was however unknown as to whether or not this effect would carry over into the multilayer model.
Figure 2: Accumulation of GNPs as a function of tissue thickness for 20 nm GNPs (a) and 50 nm GNPs (b). GNP Accumulation for each MCF-7 (c) and MDA-MB-231 (d) normalized to the day 1 50 GNP sample is also shown.

To test this, 50 nm GNPs were incubated at the same concentration and for the same time period in MLCs as the 20 nm GNPs appearing on section 2. The results of these experiments appear in Figure 2. These results suggest that the optimal particle size-dependence of GNP uptake is replaced in the multilayer model by a more linear size-dependence trend. Figure 2 shows that the overall accumulation of GNPs in cells is lower and that the penetration of the larger GNPs is
much worse than the smaller GNPs. These results further suggest that the ECM plays a larger role in the transport of GNPs through tissue than the individual cells themselves.

Examination of the rate of change in accumulation on consecutive days (Figure 3) provides a qualitative look at the penetration of GNPs in the MLC tissue. For the 20 nm GNPs in the MDA-MB-231 cells, the rate of change in accumulation appears to be steadier than the MCF-7 cells. With 50 nm GNPs, the penetration in the MDA-MB-231 cells is much higher than that of MCF-7 cells. This seems to suggest that the diffusive nature of ECM transport is far more pronounced with the 50 nm GNPs and also highlights the importance of the ECM-limiting effect in the much less structured MDA-MB-231 tissue as opposed to the more ordered and thus more prohibitive MCF-7 tissue. This result is corroborated by several studies that have proposed the effects of collagen content and ECM structure as the major factors in the prohibition of macromolecule penetration through tumor tissue(7,8,16,18-23).

Figure 3: Penetration of GNPs calculated by taking the difference in accumulation on consecutive days of growth. The left group shows the penetration of 20 nm GNPs as a function of MCL layer and the right shows the penetration of 50 nm GNPs as a function of layer.
It is important to note that the penetration of the 50 nm GNPs diminishes rapidly as the MCL tissue grows from 95 – 130 µm after 24 hours of incubation. While this mimics the results seen in vivo wherein the average uptake of therapeutic agents decreases as tumor weight increases(21,22), the most probable cause differs from the in vivo situation. Solid tumors in vivo exhibit four distinct regions of differing perfusion rates: a necrotic region devoid of vasculature, a semi-necrotic region, a stable microcirculation region and the advancing front of the tumor. These heterogeneities lead to the observed heterogeneous spatial distribution in solid tumors as well as the trend of decreasing uptake with increased tumor weight(21). In the MCL, which is avascular, the trend likely arises from the larger GNPs not having sufficient time to penetrate into the lower areas. These results, though preliminary, further show the importance of the diffusion-limited transport through the ECM which has been shown to be exacerbated both by collagen content and the structural organization of the ECM scaffolds.

Figure 4: Single day GNP uptake per cell for the monolayer and multilayer cultures across both cell lines for the 20 and 50 nm GNPs. The difference between the sizes was statistically significant at the 5% level for the monolayer and multilayer samples.
Figure 4 depicts the variation in uptake per cell across both cell lines for the 20 and 50 nm GNPs. The overwhelming increase in uptake at the monolayer level for the 50 nm particles is reversed at the multilayer level.
3.1.2 – Conclusions and Future Work

If indeed the ECM plays a larger role in GNP transport than the tumor cells themselves, the design of GNPs would benefit from addressing the prohibitive ECM effects more so than the use of ligands that aid the RME process. Such GNP systems could include collagenase coated GNPs(7) or large GNPs that house or disassemble into smaller GNPs. As mentioned in section 1.2.0, the design of GNP systems depends largely on the treatment for which they are being deployed. As such the need for GNPs to be in close proximity to target cells may prohibit such an ECM-centric design. For example, in order for GNPs to enter the nucleus, several ligands must be attached to the surface in order for endosomal escape and nuclear membrane penetration to be achieved(24,25). These ligands take up valuable surface area on the GNP and thus a tradeoff between nuclear entry and tissue penetration would have to be considered. These systems would benefit from the suggestion that GNP systems appear to be more effective penetrators in invasive cell lines as evidenced by these results.

The MLC model provides a much more representative in vivo model than the classic monolayer model. The design of GNP systems has largely rested on achieving better GNP-cell interactions and improving the outcomes of receptor-mediated endocytosis(26-40). This design philosophy ignores the effects of the ECM which has been shown here to potentially be as important a factor in the transport of GNPs in solid tumors as the cells themselves.

In the future, ligand-bound GNP systems can be tested with the MLC model to determine which ligands actively improve the overall accumulation of GNPs at depth in solid tumors. While these trends are suggestive, they must be confirmed by in vivo trials and perhaps the use of more cell lines. In particular, the use of estrogen with MCF-7 has been shown to boost the invasive
properties of the MCF-7 cell line(41-44). Results using an estrogen-fed MCF-7 multilayer tissue would provide more insight into the mechanics behind the size-dependent trends in uptake of GNPs in MLCs.
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